

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

7 **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

8 WILLIAM H. CONNER, )

9 Plaintiff, )

10 vs. )

11 HARRAH'S OPERATING CO., INC. et al., )

12 Defendants. )

3:08-cv-00633-RCJ-WGC

13 **ORDER**

14 This case arises out of an alleged conspiracy between Harrah's Operating Co., Inc.  
15 ("Harrah's") and three employees of the Nevada Gaming Control Board to recover an  
16 overpayment made to a gambler, Plaintiff William Conner. The Court granted summary  
17 judgment on all claims except those arising under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 and denied qualified  
18 immunity to the individual Defendants. The Court of Appeals reversed the denial of qualified  
19 immunity. The mandate has not yet issued, but the remaining Defendant, Harrah's, has asked the  
20 Court to reconsider granting it summary judgment on the sole remaining claim against it for  
21 § 1983 conspiracy based upon the Court of Appeals' ruling. Also pending before the Court is  
22 Plaintiff's motion for fifteen days to respond to the motion to reconsider, starting from the date  
23 the Court spreads the mandate upon its records.

24 ///


25 ///

1 **CONCLUSION**

2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Motion to Extend Time (ECF No. 100) is  
3 GRANTED. Plaintiff shall have fifteen days to respond to the Motion to Reconsider (ECF No.  
4 99) from the date this Court spreads the mandate on its records. Harrah's shall have the standard  
5 time to reply.

6 IT IS SO ORDERED.

7 Dated this 15th day of May, 2012.

8   
9 \_\_\_\_\_  
10 ROBERT C. JONES  
11 United States District Judge  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25